UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,819	01/26/2004	William C. Vogel *	028757-0143	6890
26371 FOLEY & LAR	7590 01/05/200 LDNER LLP	¥1	EXAMINER	
777 EAST WIS	CONSIN AVENUE		NICOLAS, FREDERICK C	
MILWAUKEE; WI 53202-5306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3754	
			•	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/764,819	VOGEL ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
· .		Frederick C. Nicolas	3754		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE!	l. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status			•		
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Oc</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 5 and 7-22 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath of	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) 🔲 Notica 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/764,819

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1-4,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admission to the Prior Art (APA) in view of Foster 3,255,928.

APA discloses the prior art in claim 1, lines 1-7, except that a second projection extending upwardly and inwardly from an inside wall of the base. Foster teaches the use of a second projection (57) extending upwardly and inwardly from an inside wall of a base (58) toward a top portion (52) and configured to coact with a first projection (56) on a container (46), the base and the top portion are integrally formed as seen in Figure 18.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Foster's projection onto APA's inside wall of the base, in order to interlock the projections together under a downward pressure applied to the closure, as taught by Foster in (col. 5, II. 30-41).

Further, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Foster's teaching onto the base of APA by integrally formed the base and the top portion as a single piece, in order to greatly reduce the cost of manufacturing the base and the top portion.

Art Unit: 3754

With respect to claim 2, the claimed subject matter "wherein the base has a rectangular shape".

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the shape of the base of APA and Foster to be rectangular, since it has been held that a change in the shape of the element involves only routine skill in the art. In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5,7-22 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/23/2006 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/764,819

Art Unit: 3754

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (571)-

272-4931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to

5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver, can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FN

December 26, 2006

ederick C. Nicolas

Page 4

rima/ry Examiner

Art Unit 3754